

**SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES:
San Simeon Community Services
Board of Directors Special Meeting**



Internet Meeting Location – Via Zoom

1. OPEN SESSION @ 4:05 pm

- A.** Chairperson Kellas – Present
Vice-Chairperson Giacoletti – Present
Director Carson – Present
Director Maurer – Present
Director de la Rosa – Present

2. PUBLIC COMMENT ON BUSINESS ACTION ITEM –

- (4:18) Henry Krzciuk commented.
- (9:04) Michael Donahue commented.
- (10:55) Julie Tacker commented.
- (13:38) Michael Hanchett commented.
- (14:58) Michael commented.

3. BUSINESS ACTION ITEM –

- A. Discussion regarding the District’s response to letter from San Luis Obispo County Public Works dated May 6, 2021 requesting additional information about District project that was funded by Proposition 84 and information about Proposition 1 grant award funding. (16:38)**

Jeffrey Minnery introduced the item and provided background information on the item.

(21:40) Director Carson commented that this subject matter was based on one tenth of an acre of property. He went on talk about the overall cost associated with looking into the ownership of this area and he implored the public to understand the importance of resolving this matter in a neighborly manner.

(26:54) Director de la Rosa inquired about the possibility of postponing the meeting to allow time for the Board to review the materials provided in the packet.

(27:24) Chairperson Kellas suggested that the Board allow her to run point on the letter and subsequent materials. She went on to explain that the Board could provide additional comments to staff containing any suggestions or edits. Jeffrey Minnery offered to have the Board email him directly so he coordinate changes.

(29:41) Vice-Chairperson Giacoletti commented that she thought the letter should be submitted to the County because it was clear that a lot of work had gone into the preparation of the materials.

(30:56) Director Maurer asked for Charlie Grace and Jeffrey Minnery to provide their thoughts on the best way to move forward. Jeffrey Minnery replied that the response had been well thought out and that because the response was a legal analysis, he anticipated that the Board would not have changes to the document. Charles Grace responded that he did not have any concerns about the document and that he would like to get more information from Mike Iphone's about his concerns that certain materials needed to be redacted. Jeffrey Minnery added that he did not believe that any of the attachments needed redaction.

(36:00) A motion was made to submit the letter to the county as is.

Motion: Vice-Chairperson Giacoletti

2nd: Chairperson Kellas

Vote: 5/0

Abstain:

Roll Call: Kellas: Yes Giacoletti: Yes Carson: Yes de la Rosa: Yes Maurer: Yes

4. ADJOURNMENT @ 4:43 pm